### Action Research: Impact of Holistic Method on Teacher Candidates' Self-efficacy

Kejing Liu Shawnee State University kliu@shawnee.edu

#### Abstract

Action research is advocated as a tool for teachers' Professional development. This study is to examine whether action research will help teacher candidates gain insight into inquiring teaching and increase their teaching self-efficacy beliefs. Result shows that there is a closely correlation between research-based teaching and high teaching self-efficacy, and that action research inserts strong impact on teacher candidates' self-efficacy growth.

Key words: action research, inquiry, holistic teaching method, teaching self-efficacy beliefs

#### Introduction

The far-and-most primary mission that an educational program would like to establish is to prepare learner-centered inquiring professional. When teachers reflect their own teaching from researchers' point of view can they conduct authentic teaching and provide holistic teaching to their students (Liu & Doverspike, 2007). Action research has been considered and adopted as an effective method for in-service teachers' professional development and graduate students' growth into qualified teacher professionals. The reason is that action research is believed to have the power which enables teachers or graduate students to collaborate in reviewing their practice, become awareness of their own perspectives of classroom performance, try out new instructional strategies, and examining the changes in their student learning outcomes (Elliot, 1991). Research findings suggest a high correlation between action research and teacher efficacy. Teachers who conduct action research in their practice tend to be more creative, open-minded, positive and holistic, compared to those who live with old traditional way of teaching. All these characteristics are considered to be solid evidence for high teaching self-efficacy beliefs.

Action research is recognized as a promising method for in-service teachers' successful professional development. But can it be invaluable to pre-service teachers as well? Will it be used to sharpen teacher candidates' holistic teaching skills? Will it help teacher candidates to increase their teaching self-efficacy? The purpose of this study is to examine the impact of this holistic teaching method on early childhood teacher candidates as they are pursuing their careers as teachers. Further, this study will explore the relationship among action research, holistic teaching, and teaching self-efficacy beliefs

#### **Theoretical Framework**

The theoretical frameworks which have been explored in this study include action research, selfefficacy, teaching self-efficacy, and the Holistic Teaching model.

Action Research. As Mills (2007) indicated, action research is a systematic inquiry conducted by professionals who have a vested interest in gathering information about how they teach and how their students learn. Action research is a powerful process for professional growth and development; its unique structure enables teachers to function as teacher researches who do critical reflection on their own practice and make it a natural part of teaching and learning (Elliot, 1991). Throughout the process, teaching professionals will conduct active observation to identify a problem in student learning, make deep thinking of how to solve the problem, act on the plans that they made. Holly et al (2005) pointed out that action research is a form of inquiry designed to improve one's own teaching by using informal eyes to critique his/her own practice. Throughout the process, the teacher researchers need to constantly look at what they have been doing, analyze the effectiveness of the strategies they have been using, make new plans and act on them. By doing this, they will become more and more critical of their own teaching performance and interested in finding new ways to enhance their student learning. Watts (1985) believed that teachers will perform more effectively and authentically if they can identify relevant issues on their teaching and their student learning; they can target the problem accurately and in time if they examine their own work and adjust accordingly. In addition, Watts posited that through action research, teacher researchers can work collaboratively so that they help, support, and encourage one another. Besides, action research practice will enable them to be more and more sharpened in their inquiring, thinking and problem-solving skills. The more successful they are in such a research, the more confidence they will become.

Self-Efficacy. As Bandura (1997) defined, self-efficacy is a person's beliefs about his/her capabilities to produce designated levels of performance that exercise influence over events that affect his/her life. Self-efficacy is a future-oriented belief about the level of competence a person expects he or she will display in a given situation. Self-efficacy beliefs promote thought patterns and emotions that support actions in which a person applies substantial effort in pursuit of goals, perseveres in the face of harsh situations, recovers from temporary setbacks, and puts into effect some control over life events (Bandura, 1986, 1993, 1997).

Self-efficacy beliefs determine how a person feels, thinks, motivates himself/herself and reacts via four major processes: cognitive, motivational, affective, and selection processes (Bandura, 1986; Parajes, 1998). How a student judges his/her own ability affects his/her self-efficacy beliefs. His/her self-efficacy beliefs affect how he/she motivates himself/herself to respond a particular situation. Students who have low self-efficacy beliefs in their mathematic abilities may give up easily at the time of failure. On the contrary, students who have high mathematic self-efficacy beliefs would be more likely to persevere. Instead of giving up, they will generally quickly discard faulty strategies, seek more effective methods, do work more accurately, and demonstrate more positive attitude toward their unpleasant experiences (Collins, 1982 March). These students attribute their failure to anything but their abilities.

In his study, Bandura (1986) explained in detail how people judge their capabilities based on their self-efficacy beliefs. As he stated, self-efficacy is "people's judgments of their capabilities to organize

and execute courses of actions required to attain designated types of performance (p. 391)." A strong sense of self-efficacy enhances human accomplishment and personal well-being in many ways (Bandura, 1994). People with a high sense of self-efficacy approach demanding tasks as challenges to be mastered while people with a low sense of self-efficacy try to shy away from difficult tasks because they view them as their personal threats. In the face of failure, people with a high sense of self-efficacy will tend to amplify and continue their efforts to reach a goal. In contrast, people with a low sense of self-efficacy will tend to linger on their personal deficiencies, slacken their efforts, and give up more quickly (Bandura, 1998; Ashton & Webb, 1986; Schunk & Zimmerman, 1998).

Teaching Self-Efficacy. Badura's self-efficacy theory has shed light on the exploration of teachers' teaching self-efficacy beliefs, which was defined by Tschannen-Moran, Woolfolk Hoy, & Hoy (1998; Woolfolk Hoy, 2004), as teacher's judgment of his/her capabilities to bring about desired outcomes of student engagement and learning, even among those students who may be difficult or unmotivated. Similarly, Ashton and Webb (1986) define teaching self-efficacy as "the extent to which teachers believe they can affect student learning" (p. vii) and emphasize that teaching self-efficacy "might be an important construct to further [people's] understandings of motivations of teachers" (p. vii). Due to its profound implications, Bandura's theory has been widely applied in a variety of fields from its beginnings, including teacher education. The concept of "teaching self-efficacy" was first identified when a RAND Corporation study related teachers' behaviors to students' achievement (Armor, Conroy-Oseguera, Cox, King, McDonnell, Pascal, Pauly, & Zellman, 1976). Since then, teaching self-efficacy has been closely associated with teachers' abilities to motivate students (Moselly, Reinke, & Bookout, 2002), to adopt new instructional strategies (Gibson & Webb, 1984), and to develop innovative teaching styles (Schunk & Zimmerman, 1998). Teaching self-efficacy has also been linked to superintendent's ratings of teacher competency (Henson, 2001b), classroom management skills (Schunk & Zimmerman, 1998), mastery of subject matter (Woolfolk Hoy, 2000), and effective pedagogical skills (Gibson & Dembo, 1984). Gibson and Dembo's (1984) research has concluded that a teacher's beliefs in his/her own ability to teach students may contribute to individual differences in teaching effectiveness. In addition, teachers with strong teaching self-efficacy have been found to be more likely to persist in their efforts to help unsuccessful, failing students. Teachers' adoption of diverse teaching methodologies is indicative of their confidence in their abilities to teach and in their students' abilities to learn (Ashton & Webb, 1986).

Teaching self-efficacy is a simple idea with profound implications (Henson, 2002; Woolfolk Hoy, 2004April). Teaching self-efficacy beliefs may influence what instructional approaches a teacher will use, what attitudes he/she may adopt, what actions he/she will take in the classroom, and how capable a teacher is in bringing about desired student performance outcomes, not only among top students but among at-risk or unmotivated ones (Armor, Conroy-Oseguera, Cox, King, McDonnell, Pascal, Pauly, & Zellman, 1976). Teaching self-efficacy beliefs affect the effort teachers invest in teaching, the goals they set, and their level of persistence in working with challenging students. Teachers with a strong sense of teaching self-efficacy tend to exhibit higher levels of planning and organization (Woolfolk Hoy, 2001),

experiment with different methods of instruction to a greater degree (Allinder, 1994), seek improved teaching strategies (Guskey & Passaro, 1994), and explore new instructional materials (Stein & Wang, 1988). In addition, these teachers tend to be more open to new ideas and more willing to adjust their teaching to better meet the students' needs (Guskey, 1988; Stein & Wang, 1988; Bandura, 1997; Pajares, 1996; Zimmerman, 1998; Woolfolk Hoy, 2001).

Research also suggests that teaching self-efficacy beliefs influence teacher determination and pliability in teaching in the face of difficulties and challenges (Woolfolk Hoy, 2000, 2001). Greater teacher self-efficacy empowers teachers to be more willing to explain than criticize when students make errors (Ashton & Webb, 1986), to offer diverse ways to help students who are struggling with study (Gibson & Dembo, 1984), and to be less inclined prematurely to move a difficult student to special education (Soodak & Pondell, 1993). Teachers with a higher sense of teaching self-efficacy display greater zeal for teaching (Allinder, 1994; Gusckey, 1984; Henson, 2001b; Woolfolk Hoy, 2001), stronger passion for teaching (Tschannen-Moran & Woolfolk Hoy, 2001), and are identified as more persistent in teaching (Burley, Hall, Willeme, & Brockmeier, 1991 April).

The impact of teaching self-efficacy extends even further to the elementary students themselves (Greene & Miller, 1996; Henson 2002). Teaching self-efficacy has been identified as one of the few teacher characteristics consistently correlated with student achievement (Ashton, Buhr, & Crocker, 1984). In particular, Ashton and Webb (1986) conclude that teaching self-efficacy plays a significant role in teacher attitude and behavior, and that teaching self-efficacy is consistently related to students' academic achievement. Teachers with high self-efficacy and outcome expectancy persist longer, provide greater academic focus in the classroom, and offer more consistent feedback than teachers with lower levels of self-efficacy (Ashton & Webb, 1986; Henson, 2002; Schunk & Zimmerman, 1998; Woolfolk Hoy, 2000). Also, Ashton and Webb (1986) highlight the attitudes of teachers with low teaching self-efficacy towards low-achieving students. These teachers are more likely to anticipate that low-achieving students will fail, that their failure is inevitable, and that there is nothing that can be done to intervene. Ashton, Buhr, and Crocker (1984) state that, aside from teaching self-efficacy, no other teacher characteristic has demonstrated such a consistent relationship with student achievement. Ashton and Webb (1986) found a positive correlation between high levels of teaching self-efficacy beliefs, supportive teaching /learning environments, and high academic achievement.

The importance of teaching self-efficacy beliefs in the context of a single teaching task is evidenced by Bandura's (1977) assertion that feelings of low teaching self-efficacy beliefs can lead to less effort, less flexibility in the face of failure, and more stress or depression in the face of external demands. While studying teaching self-efficacy, anxiety, and science knowledge in preservice elementary teachers, Czerniak (1990) concluded that teachers with high teaching self-efficacy beliefs are more likely to use inquiry- and student-centered teaching strategies, whereas teachers with low teaching self-efficacy beliefs are more likely to employ teacher-centered strategies, such as lecture and reading from the text.

Woolfolk Hoy (2000) indicates that the powerful influences on the development of teaching selfefficacy may take place during the formative years of a teacher's career. As she explains, if a newly recruited teacher has an opportunity to observe and work with an experienced teacher with strong teaching self-efficacy beliefs, the novice teacher will most likely develop strong teaching self-efficacy beliefs as well.

Another salient feature of teaching self-efficacy beliefs is that these beliefs can be influenced to the greater extent during the early stages of a teacher's professional development, and enhanced through successful teaching experiences (Bandura, 1986). School is the place where novice teachers have their cognitive competencies, mastery of content knowledge, and problem-solving skills tested. Beginning teachers who are well versed in their subject matter and have a high sense of teaching self-efficacy are more likely to attempt innovative teaching strategies, to overcome obstacles, and to be receptive to professional development opportunities (Gibson & Dembo, 1984). Bandura's original conceptualization of teaching self-efficacy and subsequent findings has fostered new interest in the early professional experiences of both teacher candidates and novice teachers (e.g., Henson, 2001b; Tschannen-Moran Woolfolk Hoy, 1998; Woolfolk Hoy, 2000).

Darling-Hammond (2006) advocated that teacher education programs and units must produce field-based practicum and learner-centered inquiring professionals for schools. Research findings indicated that teachers with high self-efficacy know what to teach, how to teach it, and are willing to teach in differentiated instructional strategies to meet the diverse needs of their students (Gibson Dembo, 1984; Woolfolk Hoy, 2006).

Holistic Teaching Model. This model suggests that an effective teacher in today's schools must view teaching as a holistic enterprise, which is composed of many complex component parts (Sadker & Sadker, 1977; Feldhusen & Ball, 1998). The model takes positions that the effective teacher does not present academic content to students in isolation, but rather as part of a much bigger arena (Duffy, 1998). This model advocates three quintessential teaching skills which are vital to effective teaching at any level. All three of these skills emphasize the teacher's interactive role with students. The three skills are listening, observation, and metacognition.

Listening is the first of the three essential teaching skills. Many benefits can accrue from the improvement of the teacher candidate's listening skills. For example, listening skills can serve as a vehicle for better understanding the student with whom the teacher candidate is working. Instruction itself, as well as effective classroom management, can also benefit from the use of listening skills. Equally important, effective listening assists the teacher candidate with making better diagnoses of leaning problems, and for monitoring the success of the remediation efforts addressed to those problems. The emphasis on listening as an essential teaching skill reinforces the notion that teaching is a two-way and not merely a one-way process: the success of the teaching function is determined not only by how much the teacher knows about the content being taught, but also by how the teacher utilizes information he/she receives from students as they themselves interact with the content.

Observation is the second of the essential teaching skills. As is the case with listening skills, many benefits can accrue from the improvement of teacher candidate's observation skills. Observing student behavior can reap a multitude of potential benefits, including better diagnosis of learning problems, and earlier prescription and intervention with those problems. Observation skills can also help the teacher candidate improve his/her ability to identify patterns in student learning as well as student behavior. Observation skills are also crucial in the context of classroom management, where effective observation of students during both instructional and non-instructional times can assist the teacher candidate in eliminating potential management headaches before they begin, as well as to make more effective observational skills assists the teacher candidate in gaining a more complete picture of each individual student, his/her leaning styles, and academic strengths and weaknesses. Special needs of particular students can also be identified more quickly with the use of effective observational skills.

Metacognition is the third of the essential teaching skills. Metacognition refers to one's ability to think and reflect on one's own cognitive processes. More broadly, Metacognition on the part of the teacher candidate intern refers to the ability to think and reflect on his/her own teaching, both during instruction itself, as well as during non-instructional time. Perhaps one of the most essential skills for the teacher candidate to practice is that of "thinking on his/her feet": implementing new strategies and modifications to existing strategies based upon new information garnered during the teaching of the lesson – through listening and observation as that lesson progresses.

#### Methodology

A pre- and a post Action Research and Teaching Self-Efficacy surveys were administered among sixty-eight early childhood teacher candidates who were enrolled in Practicum II –Action Research in the past three years (fall 2006 – spring 2009). The pre- and post surveys contain the same fifteen items, emphasizing the function of action research, inquiry skills, the three essential skills (listening, speaking, and metacognition), content knowledge, developmentally appropriate practices, teaching planning, problem-solving, knowledge of instructional strategies, confidence in teaching, critically reflection of own teaching, reacting and thinking as a teacher, offering professional response, and motivating students to learn.

Both The Pre- and the Post Action Research Surveys used a 5-point Likert Scale. The conversion of the Likert Scale to the point system was as follows:

Strongly Agree =	5 points
Agree =	4 points
Not Sure =	3 points
Disagree =	2 points
Strongly Disagree =	1 point

Data analysis for the study consisted of three steps: 1) matching the pre-, and the post survey response of each participant; 2) analysis of each of the items in the survey, and 3) quantification of the individual or categorized variables as needed for each of the surveys (e.g., items pertaining to teacher candidates' teaching self-efficacy for the focus areas).

In addition to these fifteen items, the participants were encouraged to write their comments and share their understandings and/or insight of action research in the space provided below each item.

#### Result

The result of the Pre-survey indicated that one participant expressed strongly disagreement with Item 1 (I am clear about the function of action research on student learning) and Item 15 (Action research helps sharpen my motivation skills in the classroom); twenty-two expressed disagreement with Item 1; twenty-three participants did not agree with Item 2 (Action research helps sharpen my listening skill). Majority participants agreed that action research helps sharpen their skills in planning (Item 8), problem solving (Item 9), teaching skills (Item 11), selecting instructional strategies (Item 12), and reflecting as a teacher research (Item 13). An average of 11.3 participants (16.6%) strongly agreed with all the items. Please see Table 1 below for detailed information.

Item	1*	2*	3*	4*	5*
AR on Student Learning	1	22	21	16	8
Listening	0	23	28	10	7
Observation	0	14	14	25	15
Content Knowledge	0	8	20	31	9
Inquiring skills	0	0	18	32	18
Thinking skills	0	0	0	38	20
Planning	0	2	12	44	10
Problem-solving	0	0	13	41	14
Confidence in Teaching	0	0	30	31	7
Teaching skills	0	2	0	52	14
Instructional Techniques	0	5	15	47	1
Reflecting as a teacher	0	0	10	50	8
Reacting as a teacher	0	0	40	10	18
Responding as a teacher	0	0	20	31	17
Motivation skills	1	0	36	27	4

Notes: 1) N = 68

2) 1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Undecided; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree

The Post Action Research Survey was administered upon the participants' completion of their action research project. The post survey contained exactly the same questions as listed in the pre-survey. The result of the Post Action Research Survey showed that great changes took place in all the participants' views on action research practice: no one strongly disagreed or disagreed with all the fifteen items while only two participants expressed that they were still not sure whether action research practice helps sharpen their motivation skills. One-hundred percent of the participants agreed or strongly agreed with all the first fourteen items listed in the post survey with the majority of participants strongly agreeing with all the fifteen items (See Table 2 on next page).

Item	1*	2*	3*	4*	5*
AR on Student Learning	0	0	0	28	40
Listening	0	0	0	32	36
Observation	0	0	0	5	63
Content Knowledge	0	0	0	0	68
Inquiring skills	0	0	0	12	56
Thinking skills	0	0	0	0	68
Planning	0	0	0	14	54
Problem-solving	0	0	0	11	57
Confidence in Teaching	0	0	0	0	68
Teaching skills	0	0	0	15	53
Instructional Techniques	0	0	0	17	51
Reflecting as a teacher	0	0	0	0	68
Reacting as a teacher	0	0	0	0	68
Responding as a teacher	0	0	0	11	57
Motivation skills	0	0	2	17	49

Table 2 – Result of the Post Action Research Survey

Notes: 1) N = 68

2) 1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Undecided; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree

Table 3, Comparison of the Pre- and the Post Action Research Surveys, illustrated that there is a great change in participants' views on the fifteen items listed in the survey. In the pre-survey, 23.5 percent agreed with Item 1 while the percentage goes to 41.1 with the increase by 17.1 percent; the percentage for Item 2 is 14.7 (Pre) and 47 (Post) and increase is 33.3; the percentage of agreement on Item 3 is 36.7 : 7 with the increase of -29.7. While 45.6 percent of the participants showed agreement in Item 4 in the Pre-Survey, the percentage of agreement with this item in the Post Survey is zero. The same thing happened to Items 6. 9. 12 and 13. All the participants went from *agree* in the Pre Survey to *strongly agree* in the Post Survey. A sharp and obvious increase from the Pre- and Post surveys

appeared in *Strongly Agree* with the highest percentage of 89.8 and lowest percentage of 41.7. An average increase in this scale is 87.4 percent. See Table 3 for specific, accurate changes between the Pre- and the Post in *Agree* and *Strongly Agree* on the fifteen questions contained in both surveys.

Item	Pre- 8	Post 4*	Dif.	Pre- &	Post 5*	Dif.
	(%)	(%)		(%	(%)	
AR on Student Learning	23.5	41.1	17.1	11.7	58.8	47.1
Listening	14.7	47	33.3	10.2	52.9	41.7
Observation	36.7	7	-29.7	22	92.6	70.6
Content Knowledge	45.6	0	-45.6	13.2	100	86.8
Inquiring skills	47	17.6	-30.6	26.4	82.3	55.9
Thinking skills	55.8	0	-55.8	29.4	100	70.6
Planning	64.7	20.6	-44.1	14.7	79.7	65
Problem-solving	60.2	16.1	-50.1	20.6	83.8	63.2
Confidence in Teaching	45.6	0	- 45.6	10.2	100	89.8
Teaching skills	76.4	22	-54.4	20.6	77.9	57.3
Instructional Techniques	73.4	25	-48.4	1.4	75	73.6
Reflecting as a teacher	73.5	0	-73.5	11.7	100	88.3
Reacting as a teacher	14.7	0	-14.7	26.4	100	73.6
Responding as a teacher	45.6	16.1	-28.5	25	83.8	58.8
Motivation skills	39.7	25	-14.7	5.9	72	66.1

Table 3 – Comparison of the Pre- and the Post Action Research Survey Results

Notes: 1) N = 68

2) As no participants selected the first three digit number (1 = Strongly disagree,

2 = disagree and not sure, this table present the differences of the last two digit Numbers: 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree.

No participants provided any comments when completing the Pre- Action Research Survey. However, they shared their new insight and feelings about action research on the post survey. Their narrative feedback supports the findings. They described how action research promotes them to grow as teachers, encourages them to reflect their teaching, guides them to work on their inquiring skills, and helps them gain confidence in how to with young children, how to identify their special needs in learning, and how to meet with individual needs. One participant wrote "Action research project increased my confidence in that it allowed me to try out something different and realize that it really can work... It helped me increase confidence in myself to become a good teacher." Another participant expressed: "All my children have different reading abilities. I wanted to know more about them, so I felt an interview was an appropriate start. By using this assessment strategy, I am able to discover how they read, where they

struggle, and what subjects interest them." A third participant's input was "Action research project allowed me to experience firsthand the type of research I will be conducting in my own classroom in the future. This experience helps me to know how to identify struggling students and work to improve their struggling areas. I feel very much like a teacher now after completing this project."

#### Conclusions

Action research practice plays an important role in teacher candidates' self-efficacy beliefs. It encourages teacher candidates to examine their teaching performance in the real classroom from professional eye, reflect their procedures of working with young children, and analyze the data collected to determine the effectiveness and impact of their own practice on student learning. Action research is an effective strategy that can be used with undergraduate teacher candidates as it will provide a rich, firsthand, invaluable experience, and motivate them to achieve as successful professional development as in-service teachers and graduate students do. Action research has great impact on teacher candidates' self-efficacy beliefs and inquiring skills. The findings match what Gould (2008) concluded in his study that ""Action research helps create a culture of professional development that is engaging, relevant, and capable of systemic improvement to teaching and learning throughout the school (p.7)."

#### References

- Alden, L. (1986). Self-efficacy and causal attributions for social feedback. *Journal of Research in Personality, 20,* 460-473.
- Allinder, A.M. (1994). The relationship between efficacy and instructional practices of special education teachers and consultants. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 17, 86-95.
- Ashton, P.T. (1984). Teacher efficacy: A motivational paradigm for effective teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education, 35(5),* 28-32
- Ashton, P.T. (1985). Motivation and teachers' sense of efficacy. In C. Ames & R. Ames (Eds.), *Research on motivation in education: Vol. 2. The classroom milieu* (pp. 141-174). Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Ashton, P.T., & Webb, R.B. (1986). *Making a difference: Teachers' sense of efficacy* and student achievement. New York: Longman.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review, 84,* 191-215.
- Bandura, A. (1978). Reflections on self-efficacy. *Advances in Behavioral Research and Therapy, 1,* 237-269.
- Bean, T.W. (1997). Pre-service teachers' selection and use of content area literacy strategies. *Journal of Educational Research*, *90*(*3*), 154-163.
- Duffy, G. (1998). Teaching and balancing of round stones. *Phi Delta Kappan, 79,* 777-780
- Elliot, J. 1991. *Action research* for educational change. Philadelphia: Open University. Press.
- Gibson, S., & Dembo, M.H. (1984). Teacher efficacy: A construct validation. *Journal* of Educational Psychology, 76, 569-582.
- Gould, M. A. (2008). Teacher as researcher: A paradigm for professional development. Kappa Delta PI Record, 45 (1), 5-7. Retrieved on 08/30/2009. Available from: <u>http://vnweb.hwwilsonweb.com/hww/results/getResults.jhtml?\_DARGS=/hww/results/results\_common.jhtml.33</u>
- Henson, R.K. (2001). The effect of participation in teacher research on teacher efficacy. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 17,* 819-836.

- Henson, R.K. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Substantive implications and measurement dilemmas. Invited keynote address given at the annual meeting of the Educational Research Exchange, January 26, 2001, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.
- Henson, R.K. (2002). From adolescent angst to adulthood: Substantive implications and measurement dilemmas in the development of teacher efficacy research. *Educational Psychologist*, *37*(*3*), 137-150.
- Hoy, A.W. (2000). Changes in teacher efficacy during the early years of teaching. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA. Session 43; 22.
- Hoy, W.K., & Woolfolk, A.E. (1990). Socialization of student teachers. *American* Educational Research Journal, 27, 270-300
- Livingston, C. & Borko, H. (1989). Expert-novice differences in teaching: A cognitive analysis and implications for teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education.* 40(4), 36-42
- Manafo, M. (2000). Reflections on field experience. Phi Delta Kappa, 82(4), 307-308.
- McDonald, E. & Allen, D. (1967). *Training effect on feedback and modeling procedures* on teacher performance. Stanford University: School of Education.
- Saunders, W., Nielson, E., Gall, M.D., & Smith, G. (1975). The effects of variations in microteaching on prospective teachers' acquisition of questioning skills. *Journal of Educational Research, 69,* 3-8
- Schunk, D. H. (1995). Self-efficacy and education and instruction. In J. E. Maddox

(Ed.), Self-efficacy, adaptation, and adjustment: theory, research, and application (pp. 281-303). New York: Plenum Press.

- Watts, H, (1985). When teachers are researchers, teaching improves. Journal of Staff Development 6(2), 118-27.
- Woolfolk, A.E., & Hoy, W.K. (1990). Prospective teachers' sense of efficacy and beliefs about control. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 81-91.
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1986). Development of a structured interviews for assessing student use of self-regulated learning strategies. *American Educational Research Journal, 23(4)*, 614-628
- Zimmerman, B. J. (1995). Self-efficacy and educational development. In A. Bandura (Ed.), Self-efficacy in changing societies (pp. 202-231). New York: Cambridge University Press.